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It is well known that if $\Sigma_{k=1}^{m} B_{k}(x)$ is bounded independently of $m$ and $x$ (say for all $x$ in an interval I) and $A_{k}$ tends to zero monotonically as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $\Sigma_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k} B_{k}(x)$ is uniformly convergent on I. This follows from a finite identity first used systematically by Abel, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=m}^{n} A_{k} B_{k}=s_{n} A_{n}-s_{m-1} A_{m-1}+\sum_{k=m-1}^{n-1} s_{k}\left(A_{k}-A_{k+1}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
s_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} B_{i}
$$

The purpose of this paper is to show that an infinite sequence of finite identities involving summations (of which (1) is the simplest example) can be deduced from the so-called "P. Hall commutator collecting process" which is fundamental in the theory of finitely generated nilpotent groups.

Let $G$ be the free group on two generators $a$ and $b,\left\{G_{n}\right\}$ itslower central series $\left(G_{1}=G, G_{n+1}=\left[G_{n}, G\right]\right)$, and $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ the corresponding natural homomorphisms, so $\phi_{n}: G \rightarrow G / G_{n}$. P. Hall's commutator collecting process yields for every $g \in G$ an integer $r=r(n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~g})=\mathrm{c}_{1}^{\mathrm{e}_{1}} \mathrm{c}_{2}^{\mathrm{e}_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{e}^{r_{r}} G_{\mathrm{n}}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where the $c_{i} \in G$ are the so-called basic commutators $\left(c_{1}=a, c_{2}=b\right.$, $c_{3}=[b, a], c_{4}=[b, a, a], c_{5}=[b, a, b], c_{6}=[b, a, a, a], c_{7}=[b, a, a, b]$, $\left.c_{8}=[b, a, b, b], \cdots\right)$ and the $e_{i}$ are integers uniquely determined by $g$ and n. A detailed explanation of these concepts can be found in Chapter 5 of Magnus, Karrass, and Solitar, Combinatorial Group Theory, Interscience Publishers, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1966.

Now let $*$ denote the operator on $G$ which turns words backwards; e. g., $\left(a^{3} b^{2} a b\right)^{*}=b a b^{2} a^{3}$. If $\phi_{n}(g)$ is given by (2), define $\phi_{n}^{*}(g)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}(\mathrm{~g})=\mathrm{c}_{1}^{\mathrm{e}_{1}} \mathrm{c}_{2}^{*}{ }^{\mathrm{e}_{2}} \cdots \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{r}}^{*}{ }^{\mathrm{e}^{\prime}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{G}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathrm{g}^{*}$ can be formed by making the substitutions $\mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{a}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{b} \rightarrow \mathrm{b}^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{g}^{-1}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}(\mathrm{~g})=\phi_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{~g}^{*}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, let ' denote the operator on $G$ which interchanges $a$ and $b$; e. g., ( $\left.a^{3} b^{2} a b\right)^{\prime}=b^{3} a^{2} b a$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}(\mathrm{g})=\phi_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{~g}^{\prime}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (4) and (5) provide infinitely many generalizations of (1).
To obtain specific identities from (4) and (5) write $g$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=b^{x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}} \mathrm{x}^{2} \cdots b^{x_{2 m}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $x_{i}$ are integers. Then $g^{*}$ is obtained from (6) by replacing $x_{i}$ with $x_{2 m-i}$, and $g^{\prime}$ is similarly obtained by replacing $m$ with $m+1$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ with $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$, where $\mathrm{y}_{0}=\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+2}=0$ and $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}-1}$ for $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq 2 \mathrm{~m}+1$. Tables I, II, and III of the appendix show how to calculate $\phi_{5}(\mathrm{~g})$ from g , where g has the form (6), and $\phi_{5}^{*}(\mathrm{~g}), \phi_{5}(\mathrm{~g})$ from $\phi_{5}(\mathrm{~g})$, where $\phi_{5}(\mathrm{~g})$ has the form (2).

Example 1. By equating the exponents of $c_{3}$ in (5), we obtain
(7)

$$
\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_{2 i+1}\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} x_{2 i}\right)-\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}\right) x_{2 i}
$$

$$
=\sum_{i=0}^{m+1} y_{2 i}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m+1} y_{2 j+i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m} x_{2 j}\right) x_{2 i-1} .
$$

By letting

$$
u(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{t} x_{2 i}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t})=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{i}+1}
$$

this may be expressed in the more familiar form

$$
u(m-1) v(m-1)-\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} v(i-1)(u(i)-u(i-1))=
$$

(8)

$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{m} u(i-1)(v(i-1)-v(i-2))
$$

which is the discrete analogue of the familiar $u v-\int v d u=\int u d v$. Equation (1) is easily verified from (8).

Example 2. By equating the exponents of $c_{5}$ in (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{2 i}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} x_{2 j}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} x_{2 j+1}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{m}\binom{x_{2 i}}{2}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} x_{2 j+1}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_{2 i}\left(\sum_{j=i+1}^{m} x_{2 j}\right)\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{m}\binom{x_{2 i}}{2}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}\right) \\
&+\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} x_{2 j}\right)-\sum_{i=0}^{m} x_{2 i}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+i}\right)\right]\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} x_{2 j}-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(9)

It follows by equating the coefficients of $x_{1}$ in ${ }^{\prime}(9)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{2 i}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} x_{2 j}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{m}\binom{x_{2 i}}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} x_{2 j}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} x_{2 j}-1\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (10) is also directly obvious, and can be considered a discrete analogue of

$$
\int_{u d u}=\frac{1}{2} u^{2} .
$$

It is clear that these identities provide new tests for the convergence of infinite series, but the author has neither been able to use them to decide the convergence of any series whose convergence is presently unknown, nor to show that these identities always have integral analogues.

## APPENDIX

For a $g$ given in the form (6), Table 1 gives the exponents $e_{i}$ of (2) for $r(5)=8$, and Tables 2 and 3 give the exponents $f_{i}$ and $h_{i}$ of $\phi_{5}^{*}(g)$ and $\phi_{5}^{\prime}(\mathrm{g})$, respectively. If p is a complicated expression, ( $)_{q}$ shall denote the binomial coefficient $\binom{p}{q}$. The author has extended these tables (by hand) to $r(6)=14$. The formula for $e_{14}$ is an unwieldy sum of five terms, one of which is

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}(p)_{2}\left(\sum_{j=i+1}^{m} x_{2 j}\right),
$$

where

$$
p=x_{2 i} \sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}
$$

TABLE 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_{2 i+1} \quad e_{2}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} x_{2 i} \\
& e_{3}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_{2 i}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}\right) \quad e_{4}=\sum_{i=0}^{m=1} x_{2 i}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}\right) 2 \\
& e_{5}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_{2 i}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}\right)\left(\sum_{j=i+1}^{m} x_{2 j}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{x_{2 i}}{2}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}\right) \\
& e_{6}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_{2 i}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}\right)_{3} \\
& e_{7}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{x_{2 i}}{2}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}\right)_{2}+\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_{2 i}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}\right)\left(\sum_{j=i+1}^{m} x_{2 j}\right) \\
& e_{8}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{x_{2 i}}{3}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\binom{x_{2 i}}{2}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+1}\right)\left(\sum_{j=i+1}^{m} x_{2 j}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x_{2 i}\left(\sum_{j=i}^{m-1} x_{2 j+i}\right)\left(\sum_{j=i+1}^{m} x_{2 j}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$
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