ON DETERMINANTS WHOSE ELEMENTS ARE PRODUCTS OF RECURSIVE SEQUENCES

DAVID ZEITLIN Minneapolis, Minnesota

1. INTRODUCTION

Let W_0 , W_1 , $p \neq 0$, and $q \neq 0$ be arbitrary real numbers, and define

(1.1) $W_{n+2} = pW_{n+1} - qW_n$, $p^2 - 4q \neq 0$, $(n = 0, 1, \dots)$,

(1.2)
$$U_n = (A^n - B^n)/(A - B)$$
 $(n = 0, 1, \dots),$

(1.3)
$$V_n = A^n + B^n$$
, $V_{-n} = V_n/q^n$, $(n = 0, 1, \dots)$,

where $A \neq B$ are roots of $y^2 - py + q = 0$. Carlitz [1, p. 132 (6)], using a well-known result for linear transformations of a quadratic form, has given a closed form for the class of determinants

(1.4)
$$W_{n+r+s}^k$$
 (r,s = 0, 1, ..., k).

.

As a first generalization of (1.4), we will show that for $m = 1, 2, \dots$, and $n_0 = 0, 1, \cdots$,

(1.5)
$$\begin{vmatrix} w_{m(n+r+s)+n_{0}}^{k} & (r,s = 0, 1, \cdots, k) \\ = (-1)^{(k+1)(k/2)} \cdot q^{(mn+n_{0})(k+1)(k/2)+(mk/3)(k^{2}-1)} \cdot \frac{k}{\Pi} \binom{k}{j} \\ \cdot (w_{1}^{2} - pW_{0}W_{1} + qW_{0}^{2})^{(k+1)k/2} \cdot \frac{k}{\Pi} U_{mi}^{2(k+1-i)} \cdot \frac{k}{m} \end{vmatrix}$$

For m = 1 and $n_0 = 0$, Eq. (1.5) gives the main result (1.4) of [1]. As in [1], our proof of (1.5) will require the following known result for quadratic forms (e.g., see [2, pp. 127-128]):

Lemma 1. Let a quadratic form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} x_{i} x_{j} \qquad (\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji})$$

be transformed by a linear transformation

$$x_{i} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_{ik} Y_{k}$$
 (i = 1, 2, ..., n)

to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} Y_{i} Y_{j} \qquad (c_{ij} = c_{ji}) .$$

Then

(1.6)
$$|c_{ij}| = |\alpha_{ij}| \cdot |\beta_{ij}|$$
 (i,j = 1, 2, ..., n).

2. STATEMENT OF THEOREM 1

We note that (1.5) is a special case of Theorem 1.

<u>Theorem 1.</u> Let W_n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$, satisfy (1.1), where $A \neq B \neq 0$ are the roots of $y^2 - py + q = 0$. Let $m, k = 1, 2, \dots$, and define

(2.1)
$$P_{n} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} W_{mn+n_{i}} \qquad (n = 0, 1, \cdots),$$

[Oct.

where n_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, are arbitrary integers or zero. Let $N_k = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$. Then, with u + 1 as the row index and v + 1 as the column index, we have

(2.2)
$$\begin{vmatrix} k \\ \Pi \\ w_{m(n+u+v)+n_{i}} \end{vmatrix}$$
 (u, v = 0, 1, ..., k)
$$= (-1)^{(k+1)k/2} \cdot q^{mn(k+1)(k/2)+(mk/3)(k^{2}-1)} \cdot \underset{r=0}{\overset{k}{\underset{r=0$$

with $C_0 = A^{N_k}$,

(2.3)
$$C_r = \sum_{j=1}^{\binom{k}{r}} A^{N_k - S(j,r)} B^{S(j,r)}$$
 $(r = 1, 2, \dots, k)$,

(2.4)
$$S(j,r) = n_1^{(j)} + n_2^{(j)} + n_3^{(j)} + \cdots + n_r^{(j)} \left(j = 1, 2, \cdots, \binom{k}{r}\right),$$

where, for each j, S(j,r), as the sum of r integers, $n_i^{(j)}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots$, r, represents one of the $\binom{k}{r}$ combinations obtained by choosing r numbers from the k numbers, $n_1, n_2, n_3, \cdots, n_k$.

<u>Remarks</u>. If $n_i \equiv n_0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, then $N_k = kn_0$, $S(j,r) = rn_0$, and

$$C_r = {k \choose r} A^{(k-r)n_0} B^{rn_0}$$

Since AB = q, we have

$$\begin{matrix} k \\ \Pi \\ r=0 \end{matrix} _{r} = q^{n_{0}(k+1)k/2} \cdot \begin{matrix} k \\ \Pi \\ j=0 \end{matrix} _{j=0}^{k} \begin{pmatrix} k \\ j \end{pmatrix},$$

and thus (2.2) gives (1.5) as a special case.

For the case n_1 = n_2 = \cdots = n_{k-1} = d and n_k \neq d_ it is readily seen that

$$C_{\mathbf{r}} = \begin{pmatrix} k & -1 \\ \mathbf{r} & -1 \end{pmatrix} A^{(k-\mathbf{r})d} B^{(\mathbf{r}-1)d+\mathbf{n}_{k}} + \begin{pmatrix} k & -1 \\ \mathbf{r} \end{pmatrix} A^{(k-\mathbf{r}-1)d+\mathbf{n}_{k}} B^{\mathbf{r}d}.$$

As a footnote to Theorem 1, we have

<u>Lemma 2.</u> For r < k - r, $r = 0, 1, \dots$, we have

(2.5)
$$C_{r}C_{k-r} = {\binom{k}{r}}_{q}q^{N_{k}} + \sum_{j=2}^{\binom{k}{r}} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} q^{S(i,r)-S(j,r)+N_{k}} \cdot V_{2S(j,r)-2S(i,r)}$$

Thus,

(2.6)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} k & (k-1)/2 \\ \prod C_{r} &= \prod C_{r}C_{k-r} \\ r=0 & r=0 \end{array} (k = 1, 3, 5, \cdots)$$

(2.7)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} k & (k-2)/2 \\ \prod C_{r} = C_{k/2} \cdot \prod C_{r}C_{k-r} \\ r=0 & r=0 \end{array}$$
 (k = 2, 4, 6, ...),

where

(2.8)
$$C_{k/2} = \sum_{j=1}^{\binom{k-1}{k/2}} q^{S(j,k/2)} \cdot V_{N_k} - 2S(j,k/2)$$
 (k = 2, 4, 6, ...).

,

$$C_{r} = \sum_{j=1}^{\binom{k}{r}} q^{S(j,r)} \cdot A^{N_{k}-2S(j,r)}$$

Noting that a choice of r numbers from k numbers leaves a complement choice of k - r numbers, we have from (2.3)

(2.9)
$$C_{k-r} = \sum_{j=1}^{\binom{k}{r}} A^{N_k - S(j,k-r)} B^{S(j,k-r)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\binom{k}{r}} A^{S(j,r)} B^{N_k - S(j,r)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\binom{k}{r}} q^{S(i,r)} \cdot B^{N_k - 2S(i,r)} .$$

In forming the product $C_r C_{k-r}$, we note that $\binom{k}{r}$ product pairs have equal i and j indices and the same value q^{k} . For the cross products with i \neq j, we combine those pairs having the same values of i and j, noting that

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{r}) & \mathbf{N}_{k} - 2\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{r}) & \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{r}) & \mathbf{N}_{k} - 2\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{r}) & \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{r}) & \mathbf{N}_{k} - 2\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{r}) & \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{r}) & \mathbf{N}_{k} - 2\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{r}) \\ \mathbf{q} & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{q} & \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{q} & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{q} & \mathbf{B} \\ \end{array} \\ & = \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{N}_{k} \\ \mathbf{q} & \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{r}) - 2\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{r}) & \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{r}) \\ \end{array}$$

Set k = 2r in (2.3). Since a choice of r numbers from a set of 2r numbers leaves another set of r numbers, we may again pair off related terms of the sum in (2.3). Since

$$\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{N}_{2\mathbf{r}}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{r})}\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{r})}+\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{r})}\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{N}_{2\mathbf{r}}-\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{r})}=\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{r})}\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{N}_{2\mathbf{r}}-\mathbf{2S}(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{r})}$$

354

1970]and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2\mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r} \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 2\mathbf{r} - 1 \\ \mathbf{r} \end{pmatrix}$$
,

we obtain (2.8) from (2.3) with r = k/2.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Since $A \neq B$, the general solution to (1.1) is

$$W_n = aA^n + bB^n$$
, $n = 0, 1, \cdots$,

where a and b are arbitrary constants whose values satisfy $W_0 = a + b$ and $W_1 = aA + bB$. We readily find that $(B - A)a = W_0B - W_1$ and (B - A)b= $W_1 - AW_0$. Since A + B = p and AB = q, we have that

We observe that

.

(3.2)
$$P_n = \prod_{i=1}^k W_{mn+n_i} = \sum_{j=0}^k K_j (B^{m(k-j)} A^{mj})^n$$
 (n = 0, 1, ...),

where K_j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$, denote arbitrary constants independent of n. The quadratic form

$$Q = \sum_{\mathbf{r},s=0}^{k} P_{n+\mathbf{r}+s} Y_{\mathbf{r}} Y_{s} = \sum_{\mathbf{r},s=0}^{k} Y_{\mathbf{r}} Y_{s} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{k} K_{j} (B^{m(k-j)} A^{mj})^{n+\mathbf{r}+s}$$

$$(3.3) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} K_{j} (B^{m(k-j)} A^{mj})^{n} \sum_{\mathbf{r},s=0}^{k} A^{mj(\mathbf{r}+s)} B^{m(k-j)(\mathbf{r}+s)} Y_{\mathbf{r}} Y_{s}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k} K_{j} (B^{m(k-j)} A^{mj})^{n} x_{j}^{2} ,$$

355

where

(3.4)
$$x_{j} = \sum_{r=0}^{k} (A^{mj} B^{m(k-j)})^{r} Y_{r}$$
 (j = 0, 1, ..., k).

Thus, by means of the linear transformation (3.4), we have reduced Q to a diagonal form. If M denotes the determinant of the linear transformation (3.4), it follows from Lemma 1 (see (1.6)), that

(3.5)
$$\left| P_{n+r+s} \right| = M^2 \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{k} K_j (B^{m(k-j)} A^{mj})^n = M^2 \cdot q^{mn(k+1)k/2} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{k} K_j,$$

where

(3.6)
$$M = \left| (A^{mj}B^{m(k-j)})^{r} \right| \qquad (j,r = 0, 1, \dots, k) ,$$

is a Vandermonde determinant.

We find now that

$$M = \prod_{\substack{0 \le j < r \le k}} (A^{mr} B^{m(k-r)} - A^{mj} B^{m(k-j)}) = \prod_{\substack{j=0 \ r=j+1}} \prod_{\substack{n=1 \ r=j+1}} A^{mj} B^{m(k-r)} (A-B) U_{m(r-j)}$$

$$= (A - B)^{k(k+1)/2} \cdot \prod_{\substack{j=0 \ r=1}} A^{mj} B^{m(k-j-s)} U_{ms}$$

$$= (A - B)^{k(k+1)/2} \cdot \prod_{\substack{j=0 \ r=1}} A^{mj(k-j)} B^{m(k-j)(k-j-1)/2} \cdot \prod_{\substack{i=0 \ r=1}} U_{ms}$$

$$= (A - B)^{k(k+1)/2} \cdot q^{mk(k^2-1)/6} \cdot \prod_{\substack{i=1 \ r=1}}^{k} U_{mi}^{k+1-i} \cdot \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ r=1}}^{k-1} U_{mi}^{k+1-i}$$

We proceed now to evaluate

of (3.5). From (3.2) we have

(3.8)
$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} W_{mn+n_{i}} = B^{mkn} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{k} K_{j} ((A/B)^{mn})^{j},$$

which is a polynomial in the variable $(A/B)^{mn}$. Since $W_n = aA^n + bB^n$, we have

$$W_{mn+n_{i}} = B^{mn} (aA^{n_{i}} (A/B)^{mn} + bB^{n_{i}})$$
,

and thus

1970]

(3.9)
$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} W_{mn+n_{i}} = B^{mkn} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} (aA^{n_{i}}(A/B)^{mn} + bB^{n_{i}})$$
$$= B^{mkn}a^{k} \cdot A^{N_{k}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k} ((A/B)^{mn} + (b/a)(B/A)^{n_{i}}) .$$

Recalling the definition of the elementary symmetric functions of the roots of a polynomial, we conclude, after comparing (3.8) and (3.9), that (see (2.3))

(3.10)
$$K_{r} = a^{k} \cdot A^{N_{k}} \cdot (-1)^{r} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-b/a)^{r} \prod_{s=1}^{r} (B/A)^{s} = a^{k-r}b^{r}C_{r}$$

 $(r = 0, 1, \dots, k)$

Using (3.1), we obtain from (3.10)

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{k} \\ \boldsymbol{\Pi} & \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{r}} = (\mathbf{ab})^{\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{k}+1)/2} & \boldsymbol{\Pi} & \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{r}} \\ \mathbf{r}=0 & \mathbf{r}=0 \end{array}$$

(3.11)

$$= (-1)^{k(k+1)/2} (A - B)^{-k(k+1)} (W_1^2 - pW_0W_1 + qW_0^2)^{k(k+1)/2} \prod_{r=0}^{k} C_r.$$

Thus, (3.5), with the use of (3.7) and (3.11), gives the desired result, (2.2).

4. THE CASE
$$p^2 - 4q = 0$$

In [1], Carlitz gave an alternate proof of (1.4) for the case $p^2 - 4q = 0$. Although (1.4) was proved for the case $p^2 - 4q \neq 0$, the two results are shown to be the same for the case $p^2 - 4q = 0$.

In the derivation of (2.2), we assumed that $p^2 - 4q \neq 0$. It can be shown (by a repetition of the argument in [1]) that (2.2) is also valid for the case $p^2 - 4q = 0$, where now $U_n = n(p/2)^{n-1}$, and $W_n = (a + bn)(p/2)^n$, with $a = W_0$ and $pb = 2W_1 = pW_0$. Since A = B = p/2, we obtain from (2.3) that

$$C_r = {k \choose r} (p/2)^{N_k}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} {}^{k}_{i=1} \quad {}^{k}_{mi} \quad {}^{2(k+1-i)}_{i=1} \quad {}^{k}_{i=1} \quad {}^{(mi)^{2(k+1-i)}(p/2)^{2(mi-1)(k+1-i)}}_{i=1} \\ \\ \\ = \ {}^{k(k+1)} \cdot \ {}^{(p/2)^{k(k+1)(mk+2m-3)/3}} \cdot \ {}^{k}_{\Pi} \ {}^{(r!)^{2}}_{r=0} \end{array}$$

and

358

[Oct.

$$\prod_{r=0}^{k} {\binom{k}{r}} (r!)^{2} = (k!)^{k+1}.$$

Thus, from Theorem 1, we obtain the simplified result Theorem 2

$$\begin{vmatrix} k & m(n+r+s)+n \\ \prod_{i=1} (a + bn_i + bm(n + r + s))(p/2) & (r,s = 0,1,\cdots,k) \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(4.1) = (-1)^{(k+1)k/2} \cdot (p/2)^{(k+1)(k(mn+1)+(2/3)mk(k-1)+(k/3)(mk+2m-3)+N_k)} \cdot (bm)^{k(k+1)} \cdot (k!)^{k+1} .$$

Remarks. If m = 1 and $n_i \equiv 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, then $N_k = 0$, and thus (4.1) contains, as a special case, the second (and the last) principal result, (7), of [1].

Additional simplifications of (4.1) are readily obtained.

REFERENCES

- 1. L. Carlitz, "Some Determinants Containing Powers of Fibonacci Numbers," Fibonacci Quarterly, 4 (1966), 129-134.
- 2. W. L. Ferrar, Algebra, Oxford University Press, London, 1941.
- 3. D. Zeitlin, "Power Identities for Sequences Defined by $W_{n+2} = dW_{n+1} cW_n$," <u>Fibonacci Quarterly</u>, 3 (1965), 241-256.

<u>____</u>

1970]