= 
$$(x^2 + y^2 + 1)z_{r+2,s+2} + x y z_{r+1,s+1} + z_{r,s}$$
.

Hence,

$$z_{r+4,s+4} = x y z_{r+3,s+3} + (x^2 + y^2 + 2) z_{r+2,s+2} + x y z_{r+1,s+1} - z_{r,s}$$

Thus,

$$a = -xy$$
,  $b = -(x^2 + y^2 + 2)$ ,  $c = -xy$ ,  $d = 1$ .

Also solved by W. Brady, D. Zeitlin, and D. V. Jaiswal.

Late Acknowledgement: D. V. Jaiswal solved H-126, H-127, H-129, H-131.

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

DAVID G. BEVERAGE San Diego State College, San Diego, California

In regard to the two articles, "A Shorter Proof," by Irving Adler (December, 1969, <u>Fibonacci Quarterly</u>), and "1967 as the Sum of Three Squares," by Brother Alfred Brousseau (April, 1967, <u>Fibonacci Quarterly</u>), the general result is as follows:

 $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = n$  is solvable if and only if n is not of the form  $4^t(8k + 7)$ , for  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Since 1967 = 8(245) + 7,  $1967 \neq x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ . A lesser result known to Fermat and proven by Descartes is that no integer 8k + 7 is the sum of three rational squares.\*\*

<sup>\*</sup>William H. Leveque, Topics in Number Theory, Vol. 1, p. 133.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Leonard E. Dickson, <u>History of the Theory of Numbers</u>, Vol. II, Chap. VII, p. 259.