

Answers to Problem Set 4

Peter Selinger

Problem 1. Let p be prime. We count the number of invertible elements in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} . An element \bar{x} is invertible iff $\gcd(x, p^k) = 1$, iff $p \nmid x$. Thus, the non-invertible elements are precisely the multiples of p , or which there are p^{k-1} in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k} . The remaining elements are invertible, and their number is $p^k - p^{k-1} = (p-1)p^{k-1}$.

Problem 2. Find all the divisors of $3 + 4i$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Let $z = 3 + 4i$. If $z = uv$ for some $u, w \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, then $|u||w| = |z| = 5$, and thus either $|u| \leq \sqrt{5}$ or $|w| \leq \sqrt{5}$. Thus, for any pair of divisors, one of them has absolute value $\leq \sqrt{5}$. Moreover, $u|z$ iff $iu|z$ iff $-u|z$ iff $-iu|z$; thus, we need only check for divisors in the first quadrant. Thus it suffices to check whether the following numbers are divisors:

u	z/u	divisor?	divisors found:
0	undef	no	
1	$3 + 4i$	yes	$\{1, i, -1, -i, 3 + 4i, -4 + 3i, -3 - 4i, 4 - 3i\}$
$1 + i$	$3.5 + 0.5i$	no	
$1 + 2i$	$2.2 - 0.4i$	no	
2	$1.5 + 2i$	no	
$2 + i$	$2 + i$	yes	$\{2 + i, -1 + 2i, -2 - i, 1 - 2i\}$

Problem 3. Suppose R is a ring which satisfies the cancellation property, i.e., whenever $ab = ac$ and $a \neq 0$, then $b = c$. To prove that R is an integral domain, assume that $xy = 0$ and $x \neq 0$. Then $xy = x0$, hence by cancellation, $y = 0$. It follows that R has no zero divisors.

Problem 4. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the function on complex numbers defined by $f(a + bi) = a - bi$ (complex conjugation). To prove that f is a ring homomorphism, assume that $z = a + bi$ and $w = c + di$ are arbitrary complex numbers. Then:

- (a) $f(z + w) = f(a + c + (b + d)i) = a + c - (b + d)i = (a - bi) + (c - di) = f(z) + f(w)$.
- (b) $f(0) = f(0 + 0i) = 0 - 0i = 0$.
- (c) $f(zw) = f((a + bi)(c + di)) = f(ac - bd + (ad + bc)i) = ac - bd - (ad + bc)i = (a - bi)(c - di) = f(z)f(w)$.
- (d) $f(1) = f(1 + 0i) = 1 - 0i = 1$.

Problem 5. (a) We find the inverse by row operations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad (\text{exch. rows 1+2}) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad (\text{subtract r.1 from r.4}) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad \begin{array}{l} (\text{subtract } 2 \cdot \text{r.2 from r.3}), \\ (\text{add r.2 to r.4}) \end{array} \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad \begin{array}{l} (\text{subtract r.3 from r.2}), \\ (\text{subtract } 2 \cdot \text{r.3 from r.1}) \end{array} \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \quad \begin{array}{l} (\text{add r.4 to r.1 and r.2}), \\ (\text{add } 2 \cdot \text{r.4 to r.3}) \end{array} \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 4 \end{array} \right) \quad \begin{array}{l} (\text{multiply r.3 by 3}), \\ (\text{multiply r.4 by 4}) \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

So the inverse matrix is

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) We write the systems as an augmented matrix and solve.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad L_1 \leftarrow 2 \cdot L_1 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \end{array} \right) \quad L_2 \leftarrow L_2 - L_1, L_3 \leftarrow L_3 - L_1, \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad L_3 \leftarrow L_3 - L_2, L_4 \leftarrow L_4 + L_3, \end{aligned}$$

The answer is more easily written if we continue to row reduced form:

$$\begin{aligned} \Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad L_1 \leftarrow L_1 - 2 \cdot L_2 \\ \Leftrightarrow & \left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad L_2 \leftarrow L_2 - L_3 \end{aligned}$$

So we have: $w = 1$, $z = a$ is a free variable, $y = 2 - 2a$, $x = 1 - 2a$, thus

$$(x, y, z, w) = (1, 2, 0, 1) + a(-2, -2, 1, 0) = (1, 2, 0, 1) + a(1, 1, 1, 0)$$

Problem 6. (a) 0101010, 1100011, 1001001, 1110000

(b) For each received codeword w , we calculate wH , which is called the *syndrome* of w . w is a valid codeword iff $wH = 0$. Otherwise, the row of H which is equal to wH determines the position of the error.

received word	syndrome	error position	corrected codeword	plaintext
1100110	101	2	1000110	1000
1100011	000	-	1100011	1100
1111000	111	4	1110000	1110
0111110	111	4	0110110	0110
1010101	000	-	1010101	1010

Problem 7. Since $H = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ A \end{pmatrix}$, the generator matrix is $G = (-A|I)$, or

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(Word processing definitely helps in writing this matrix; it's a lot of work to write it by hand). This is not quite a "systematic" code, because the "parity bits" are attached at the beginning of the codewords, instead of the end.

(a) We encode:

$$\begin{aligned} 01010101010 & \rightarrow 0101\ 01010101010 \\ 11101110111 & \rightarrow 0010\ 11101110111 \end{aligned}$$

(b) There was a typo in the problem: 1000100010001000 has 16 digits, whereas we need 15. So let us decode $v = 100010001000100$. The syndrome is $vH = (0110)$. As this corresponds to the 7th row of H , a single-bit error must have occurred in position 7 (assuming that it was indeed a single-bit error, not a multi-bit error, which we cannot correct). So the corrected codeword is 1000 10101000100, corresponding to the plaintext 10101000100.