A topological perspective on interacting algebraic theories

Amar Hadzihasanovic

University of Oxford

Glasgow, 9 June 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Convincing uses of string diagrams

### Adjunctions: $\checkmark \checkmark$



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

## Convincing uses of string diagrams

### **Monoids** (and **Frobenius algebras**): $\checkmark \checkmark$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Convincing uses of string diagrams

### **Monoids** (and **Frobenius algebras**): $\sqrt{\checkmark}$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

→ Spider laws in the ZX calculus

#### Bialgebras: not really!



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### Bialgebras: not really!



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 $\rightsquigarrow$  Find a topological explanation for this and similar laws

**Teleportation** (biunitaries): √



(Vicary, 2012, Higher quantum theory)

#### Homomorphisms of monads and other naturality equations: $\checkmark$



(Hinze, Marsden, 2016, Equational reasoning with lollipops, forks, cups, caps, snakes, and speedometers)

#### Homomorphisms of monads and other naturality equations: $\checkmark$



(Hinze, Marsden, 2016, Equational reasoning with lollipops, forks, cups, caps, snakes, and speedometers)

• Why does "sliding" appear in naturality equations?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ● ●



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○□ のへで



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲注▶ ▲注▶ … 注: のへ⊙



~ Orthogonality as the geometric correlate of naturality

 Traditionally: presentation of an algebraic theory = generators + relations

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Traditionally: presentation of an algebraic theory = generators + relations
- Higher-dimensional rewriting: everything is a generator (in different dimensions)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Traditionally: presentation of an algebraic theory = generators + relations
- Higher-dimensional rewriting: everything is a generator (in different dimensions)

Computads [polygraphs in HDR]: define higher-dimensional theory by progressively attaching cells of increasing dimension

- Traditionally: presentation of an algebraic theory = generators + relations
- Higher-dimensional rewriting: everything is a generator (in different dimensions)

Computads [polygraphs in HDR]: define higher-dimensional theory by progressively attaching cells of increasing dimension

- Traditionally: presentation of an algebraic theory = generators + relations
- Higher-dimensional rewriting: everything is a generator (in different dimensions)

Computads [polygraphs in HDR]: define higher-dimensional theory by progressively attaching cells of increasing dimension

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### So why not compose theories like topological spaces?

So why not compose theories like topological spaces?

Crans-Gray tensor product: an **asymmetric** tensor product  $\otimes$  of strict higher categories, that restricts to computads

So why not compose theories like topological spaces?

Crans-Gray tensor product: an **asymmetric** tensor product  $\otimes$  of strict higher categories, that restricts to computads

 Corresponds to the usual product of topological spaces, through geometric realisation

So why not compose theories like topological spaces?

Crans-Gray tensor product: an **asymmetric** tensor product  $\otimes$  of strict higher categories, that restricts to computads

 Corresponds to the usual product of topological spaces, through geometric realisation

(Also: disjoint unions, quotients, ...)

# Cylinders and homomorphisms

$$I := 0 \bullet \xrightarrow{a} \bullet 1$$
, the "directed interval".

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

## $I := 0 \bullet \xrightarrow{a} \bullet 1$ , the "directed interval". M presentation of the theory of monoids, $\mu$ multiplication 2-cell.

・ロト ・西ト ・ヨト ・ヨー うらぐ

 $I := {}^{0} \bullet \xrightarrow{a} \bullet {}^{1}$ , the "directed interval". *M* presentation of the theory of monoids,  $\mu$  multiplication 2-cell. The **cylinder**  $I \otimes M$  contains the (1 + 2) = 3-cell  $a \otimes \mu$ 

 $\Rightarrow$ 

 $I := {}^{0} \bullet \xrightarrow{a} \bullet {}^{1}$ , the "directed interval". M presentation of the theory of monoids,  $\eta$  unit 2-cell.

The **cylinder**  $I \otimes M$  contains the (1+2) = 3-cell  $a \otimes \eta$ 



# Cylinders and homomorphisms



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

### Cylinders and homomorphisms



(In topology: **homotopy** of maps)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

"Monoidal" theories (only 1 colour) are naturally pointed spaces
Smash product X ∧ Y: quotient out X ⊗ {\*<sub>Y</sub>} and {\*<sub>X</sub>} ⊗ Y in X ⊗ Y

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- "Monoidal" theories (only 1 colour) are naturally **pointed spaces** 
  - Smash product X ∧ Y: quotient out X ⊗ {\*<sub>Y</sub>} and {\*<sub>X</sub>} ⊗ Y in X ⊗ Y

**Graphically**, at the lowest-dimensional level, erase everything but the *intersections* of diagrams coming from X and diagrams coming from Y.

- "Monoidal" theories (only 1 colour) are naturally **pointed spaces** 
  - Smash product X ∧ Y: quotient out X ⊗ {\*<sub>Y</sub>} and {\*<sub>X</sub>} ⊗ Y in X ⊗ Y
- **Graphically**, at the lowest-dimensional level, erase everything but the *intersections* of diagrams coming from X and diagrams coming from Y.

We consider the smash product  $M \wedge M$ .

# Topology of bialgebras



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ = ● のQの

 A compositional approach to higher algebraic theories, importing tools from algebraic topology

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 A compositional approach to higher algebraic theories, importing tools from algebraic topology

Thank you for your attention.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ